



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKETT NO.
08/558544	11/16/95	Yamazaki	0756-1441

GERALD J FERGUSON, JR  
SIXBEY FRIEDMAN LEEDOM & FERGUSON  
2010 CORPORATE RIDGE SUITE 600  
MCLEAN VA 22102

MM12/0902

EXAMINER	
Mintel	
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2811	19

DATE MAILED:

09/02/99

### EXAMINER INTERVIEW SUMMARY RECORD

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Atty. Donald R. Studebaker (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
(2) Exmr. William Mintel (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of interview 8/31/99

Type: ☒ Telephonic ☐ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Agreement ☐ was reached with respect to some or all of the claims in question. ☐ was not reached.

Claims discussed: Amendment D, February 22, 1999

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Exmr. Mintel suggested the following amendt. to Am. D, 2/22/99, because Am. D does not comply with 37 CFR 1.21(b)(2). The amendt. of claim 5 needs correction because it has improper bracketing + a superfluous comma at line 9. The phrase "[sense a light]" is improper because the deleted text is not part of the original patent. The comma before "drive said photoelectric" at line 9 is superfluous. Applicant is asked to fax a new am. to (703) 308-7722. See MPEP 1453.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ 1. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph below has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW (e.g., items 1-7 on the reverse side of this form). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, then applicant is given one month from this interview date to provide a statement of the substance of the interview.

- ☐ 2. Since the examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the substance of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

William Mintel  
Examiner's Signature